Exhibit 18

Deposition of Jon Fitch (February 15, 2017) (excerpted)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch)Case No: 2:15-cv-01045-RFB(PAL)
Brandon Vera, Luis Javier Vasquez,)
and Kyle Kingsbury on behalf of)
themselves and all others)
similarly situated,)

Plaintiffs,)
vs.)

Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate)
Fighting Championship and UFC,)

Defendants.)

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF JON FITCH

taken at, Boies, Schiller & Flexner,

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800,

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 beginning at 9:23 A.M.

and ending at 4:54 P.M.on Wednesday, February 15, 2017

Reported by: Sarah Padilla, CCR NO. 929 Job No. 296624 Pages 1-257



Page 82 Page 84 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 BY MR. WIDNELL:

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

- Q Okay. So did anyone as Zinkin tell you about communications they had had with Zuffa that indicated that Zuffa was unhappy with something you had done?
- A No. Not that I can remember, but I'm not ruling it out.
- Q So how in your mind were your punished by Zuffa?
- A I was fired for not wanting to sign a contract for no money forever.
- Q That was the video game incident, isn't it?
- 14 A Yup.
 - O Okay. Were there other instances where you were punished by Zuffa?
 - A Other than -- nothing that is like a blatant measurable thing. They used a number of different tactics in order to create enough power to reign over us and instill fear over us with minor comments. You know, trying to get us to perform for them before the fights in their prefight speeches. You know, there were threats there. If you don't fight a certain way, you're not going to be rewarded.

Demian Maia is in a position right now he should be fighting for title, but since they don't like his fighting style, he's being punished and not given a chance to fight for title.

And that sends a clear message to every other single fighter in the world, that if you're a ground-based fight, you will not succeed on the same level in UFC, not because you're not good enough and you can't beat the guys at the top, but because they just don't like that style.

BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q Do you know why they don't like that style?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. You can answer if you know.

THE WITNESS: They don't understand it. They're not good at it. I don't know. BY MR. WIDNELL:

- Q So it's never been communicated to you why they don't like the style that you are describing, a ground -- what did you call it?
 - A A ground-oriented-type fight.
 - Okay. And would that be more wrestling?
 - More wrestling, grappling based, dimension based.

Page 85

Page 83

Q So can you give me an example of that?

- A Before the fights, Dana would always pull all the fighters into a room without manager management or the corner, just fighters and him and his security. And he would give a speech about how we're all in this together, and then somewhere in throughout that, there would be a minimal threat about leaving it all in the cage and, you know, putting on a show or whatever. If you couldn't live up to that, there would be -- you wouldn't get the -- you wouldn't get the good stuff, which wasn't necessarily a threat, but it's do it the way we want you to do or you're not going to get anything.
- Q So what was the way that Zuffa wanted you to do it?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: Whatever their opinion on what sold their fights, they wanted, right, they didn't care, well, I don't know how to -- I mean, they wanted stand-up fights. They wanted Muay Thai. They wanted Muay Thai with small gloves. Guys who wrestled too much, guys who didn't fight their style that they liked wouldn't be given certain opportunities. They wouldn't be allowed to progress and fight the next toughest guy.

1 Q Rather than standing up and --

Rock'em Sock'em Robots.

O So no one at Zuffa has ever explained to you why they have a preference for one style over another?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Object to form. BY MR. WIDNELL:

- Q Did anyone at Zuffa ever explain to you why they have a preference for one style over another?
- A No. They never -- I never had conversations with somebody from Zuffa explaining to me why I was not getting benefits because of my style. I never had that conversation.
- Q Has anyone at Zuffa ever made a public statement that you recall that explains why they prefer one style over another?
- A I think Dana has made statements. He's called things boring. He doesn't like certain things. But I think that's his opinion. And he pushes his opinion a lot of times.
- Q So we're talking about the ways that you had been punished. We talked about the video game incident. And then I think you said that there were subtle ways that you interpreted as threats. Is



Page 88 Page 86 that accurate, that description? 1 BY MR. WIDNELL: 1 2 2 A Threats, fear, intimidation type of Q Did you have notoriety at that point? 3 things. 3 A I did, enough to almost make it onto the 4 Q So can you give me an example of that kind 4 Ultimate Fighting television show. I had enough 5 of threat? 5 notoriety. б 6 Q So let me just ask a quick question about MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection. Asked and 7 7 that. Would you say if you made it onto the answered. 8 8 Ultimate Fighting show, that that makes you an elite THE WITNESS: Yeah, I've answered that. 9 BY MR. WIDNELL: 9 10 10 Q So we talked about how Dana would get the MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to form to the fighters together before an event and say that he 11 extent that it calls for a legal conclusion. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Man, I don't like it. It's preferred a certain style over another. Was that 12 the only example -- is that the only kind of threat 13 an opinion, I don't like it. But in a sense, like I 13 14 that he made? 14 said, if you get that rubber stamp, it doesn't 15 15 necessarily make you elite to be on the show. But A I mean, no. There is just little things, 16 if you win the show and you fight for the UFC, like 16 things he makes in public statements, the fights he 17 gives to certain people, the place on the -- your 17 I said that rubber stamp, I'm a UFC veteran. 18 place in the pay-per-view lineup, whether you fight 18 BY MR. WIDNELL: 19 on the pay per view or you fight on the TV card, 19 Q Yeah. That's the distinction I'm trying to get at. 20 whether you get sent overseas, the opponents you get 20 21 matched up against. One of the ways they punished 21 Α 22 me a lot of the times is they found me the toughest 22 So if you fight for the UFC --23 guy they could find that nobody had ever heard of 23 So being on the show, maybe not. A 24 24 Maybe not? before. 25 So they took really tough amateur-type 25 Yeah. Page 87 Page 89 guys, but the crowd didn't know who they were. It's 1 Q Okay. 2 a lose-lose fight for somebody with notoriety. 2 A If you fight on the show and you lose and 3 Because if you don't go in there -- if you go in 3 you don't get the contract, maybe not. But that there and completely beat the guy up, fine, you were 4 4 show may give you the ability to raise your 5 supposed to. They didn't know who the other guy 5 notoriety, you fight at a smaller show and your 6 6 was. You go in there and you win, but you don't notoriety gains some more, and then you get the --7 7 destroy the guy, oh, you suck now because you didn't then you get the call. 8 destroy a guy with no name. Or you lose, you lose 8 Q Okay. And in your case, the fact that you 9 9 to a guy who has no name, and now your notoriety and were being considered for the show meant that you 10 your value drops immensely. They may even cut you 10 had the kind of notoriety that made you an elite 11 because you lost. 11 fight; is that correct? 12 So that is one of the most subtle ways 12 A Yeah. Especially since it was the first 13 13 show. It's different, though. It's different now. that they really put fear into people, is they control your destiny. They control who you fight, 14 14 That first show, if you look at the level of 15 when you fight, and how much you fight for. 15 experience and competition to all the guys who were 16 Q So which guys in your fight history fit 16 on that show, they had all been around a long time. 17 17 that category of really tough guys that nobody knew You know, there were no newbies on that show.

MR. DELL'ANGELO: At the UFC? BY MR. WIDNELL:

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

about?

Q At the UFC. Well, I assume it's at the UFC since --

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Just want to be clear. THE WITNESS: Well, my first fight, Brock Larson, I hadn't done anything to irritate them yet.

MAGNA D

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Everybody there had ten fights or so.

show they were all elite fighters?

Q So is it fair to say that in that first

A It's a little different. The first maybe

two or three, I can't remember, and they even had a

come-back show, and those were old guys that had

fought in the UFC before. But, yeah, it's a sliding

scale. Because now it's less experienced guys

Page 90 Page 92 1 getting in on the show. So I wouldn't say 1 that they're making an investment in you? 2 2 necessarily. In fact, a lot of those guys who were MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. invited on that show, were invited on that show 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I wouldn't say it's a 3 4 because they may have been considered elite already 4 good thing. Just they want to make sure no one has because they had notoriety. The UFC, people had 5 access to you. 6 6 seen them fight, they'd seen videos, heard their BY MR. WIDNELL: 7 7 name, things like that. Q And why do they want to make sure nobody 8 Q So your first fight with Brock Larson was 8 else has access to you? a tough fight, was that to punish you? 9 9 A Because your notoriety could bring 10 A Not necessarily. But I -- I was set up to 10 notoriety to a rival competition. 11 lose that fight. He was undefeated. They were 11 O Okay. going to try to start building him, right? Because 12 12 A If I have notoriety and I go to a promoter 13 it was the 185-pound division was a weak division. 13 who no one knows about, I'd bring all those fans 14 They didn't have a lot of guys, a lot of talent. 14 with me. That's why they do it. They needed star power. Q Is there any other reason why they would 15 15 16 So they had this undefeated guy from 16 have want to have a multi-fight contract? 17 Minnesota. They wanted to make him the Matt Hughes 17 MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. 18 of the weight class. I was brought in to lose. 18 Calls for speculation. 19 Now, I hadn't had any real experience with Zuffa at 19 THE WITNESS: To my understanding, I mean, the time, so they didn't really have any reason to 20 20 it makes a lot of sense why the UFC would want a 21 punish me. But Zuffa and my management company were 21 multi-fight contract, they keep all the talent, you already bitter rivals. They already hated each 22 22 can't go nowhere else, no one else can compete. Of other. DeWayne was no longer allowed in on 23 23 course it's beneficial for the UFC contracts for the negotiations because him and Dana would just fight. 24 24 UFC to have multi-fight contracts. 25 So there's possible -- possibility that he 25 Page 91 Page 93 was trying to punish my management by setting me up 1 1 BY MR. WIDNELL: 2 against this tough fight to lose. But I took it 2 Q And it sounds like it's your opinion that 3 3 anyways because I knew I was better than the guy and if you have a multi-fight contract, they're going to 4 I just needed to get my foot in the door. As soon try and give that fighter better fights; is that 4 5 5 as I had my foot in the door, I was straight. correct? 6 6 Q How'd you know it wasn't a case of they MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to form 7 7 were trying to punish Brock Larsen by matching him mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. with you? 8 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. No, I'm not saying 9 9 MR. DELL'ANGELO: Object to form. that at all. 10 THE WITNESS: Of course I have to 10 BY MR. WIDNELL: 11 speculate at this stuff, but he had an undefeated 11 Q Okay. I thought I'd heard that based on the description of why they were matching you with record. He was more marketable at that point. He 12 12 had a large local following in Minnesota where he 13 Brock Larsen. But that's not the case? 13 14 was fighting. So just on paper, it looks likely 14 A No, that's not the case. I was just

multi-fight deal.
BY MR. WIDNELL:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And if you are signed to the a multi-fight deal, they don't have an incentive to --

that that was the situation. Plus, I can't remember

fully, but he might have already been signed to a

- A They want to keep you. They have a reason they want to keep you around. They don't want you going somewhere else.
- Q So that's actually one way that a multi-fight deal might be a good thing. It shows
- A No, that's not the case. I was just guessing, straight up guessing that he might have had a multi-fight contract. The situations we were looking at, I was brought in short notice to fight, so I didn't have as much time to prepare. I didn't have zero against, you know, he was like 16 and 0, when you have an 0 you're highly marketable. He already had a large following in Minnesota where he was fighting.

I didn't have much of a following because I jumped from show to show to show. His coach was my coach, his jiujitsu coach at the time, David



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 114		Page 116
1	Q If you win; is that correct?	1	for Chris Wilson, would you have been paid
2	A Yes, only if you win.	2	30,000/30,000?
3	Q Okay. And then the next bout after that	3	A No, because we started a new agreement.
4	would have been 38/38 if you win; is that correct?	4	Q Isn't this the new agreement?
5	A Uh-huh.	5	A Huh-uh.
6	Q And then the next bout after that would	6	Q I thought that this was the agreement that
7	have been 42/42 if you won; is that correct?	7	covered Chris Wilson.
8	A Correct.	8	A What do you mean?
9	Q Okay. And based on the date of your	9	Q I thought that this was the agreement that
10	signature of that contract, can you tell me which	10	covered Chris Wilson, Exhibit 51?
11	fights would have been covered?	11	A No. I'm sorry. I don't understand what
12	MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form.	12	you are asking.
13	Calls for a legal conclusion.	13	MR. MAYSEY: Can we go off record for a
14	THE WITNESS: I can't be a hundred percent	14	second?
15	sure what the date on this one is. That would be	15	MR. DELL'ANGELO: No, don't go off the
16	either Chris Wilson GSP, Gono, Paulo Thiago, I	16	record. Let him finish his answer.
17	believe.	17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't understand
18	BY MR. WIDNELL:	18	what you're saying. Under the new agreement I would
19	Q If you had fought all four fights on the	19	have fought for 45 and 45. If I would have finished
20	contract?	20	the other agreement, it would have been 42, but I
21	A Yes, I fought all four on that.	21	did not finish the agreement.
22	Q Ah, so now let's go to Exhibit 54	22	MR. WIDNELL: Okay, so.
23	A Correct.	23	MR. DELL'ANGELO: Can you excuse me,
24	Q and see when that was signed. So can	24	counsel, before you proceed.
25	you tell from when that was signed whether you	25	MR. WIDNELL: Yeah, did you want to go off
	Page 115		Page 117
1	fought all four fights under the contract that's	1	the record?
2	Exhibit 51?	2	MR. DELL'ANGELO: We don't have to go off
3	A I don't believe I did. Looks like I was	3	the record. I think there's a lack of clarity about
4	forced to re-sign before the Paulo Thiago fight, the	4	one of the exhibits that I think Mr. Masey has. And
5	UFC 100.	5	I just ask you let him clarify with you.
6	Q Okay.	6	MR. WIDNELL: Sure.
7	A That is July 11, 2009.	7	MR. MAYSEY: So Exhibit 54, can you read
8	Q Okay. And for Exhibit 54, for that	8	off the Bates label.
9	contract, can you tell me what your compensation for	9	MR. WIDNELL: ZFL0414089.
10	the first fight was?	10	MR. DELL'ANGELO: I think Mr. Masey got
11	A I believe 45 and 45.	11	two of 53 but not one of 54.
12	Q Okay. So it is 45,000 and 45,000. Now,	12	MR. MAYSEY: I got two of 53.
13	let's go back to Exhibit 51. We talked about the	13	MR. DELL'ANGELO: Ah. Which is leading to
14	compensation. Can you look at your fight history	14	a little bit of confusion.
15	and tell me what compensation you actually would	15	MR. McSWEENEY: Ah, I see. My apologies.
16	have gotten based on your wins and losses?	16 17	Is that all we need to cover?
17	A For which fight?	18	MR. DELL'ANGELO: Yes, thank you for your
18	Q So let me ask it this way. So Chris	19	indulgence. BY MR. WIDNELL:
19 20	Wilson was the first fight on that contract, I think we've established; is that correct?	20	Q So I just want to go back to Exhibit 51.
21		21	And I'm just trying to figure out what you would
22	A Yes. Q And your starting compensation was	22	have gotten paid for right now, just what you
23	30,000/30,000; right.	23	would have gotten paid for the Chris Wilson fight.
24	A Uh-huh.	24	Which Chris Wilson was the first fight under the
25	Q So for the first fight on that contract,	25	contract in Exhibit 51; is that correct?
ر ک	Q 50 for the first right on that contract,	دك	contract in Exhibit 31, is that confect?

	Page 118		Page 120
1	A Yeah.	1	A Because they had done it to other people.
2	Q And would have been and based on the	2	Q And you've heard about you gave one
3	compensation on page 5 of Exhibit 51, you would have	3	other example; is that correct?
4	been paid 30,000 and 30,000; is that correct?	4	A Huerta and Arlovski.
5	A Yeah; on the last set of contracts, first	5	Q So you know two examples
6	promotional agreement, the first fight was 30.	6	A I know of two examples where the guys were
7	Q 30/30?	7	brave enough to see it through. Most guys know if
8	A And 34/34, 38/38. All the way up to 42.	8	you don't sign the re-up, you don't get your bout
9	Q Okay. So for the very first fight you	9	agreement. If you don't get your bout agreement,
10	would have been paid 30/30?	10	you don't get paid, you don't get money, you can't
11	A Yup.	11	feed your children.
12	Q And you won that fight; right?	12	Q You don't get your bout agreement,
13	A Yes.	13	according to you. And
14	Q And so for the next fight, which was your	14	A According to most, yeah.
15	fight with GSP?	15	Q Okay.
16	A Uh-huh.	16	A According to management, according to
17	Q You would have been paid 34/34 if you won?	17	other fighters, according to the media, news media.
18	A If I won, but I did not.	18	Q As you understand it?
19	Q But you didn't win, so you would have been	19	A As I understand it, yes.
20	paid 34; is that correct?	20	Q And I'm not trying to dispute your
21	A Yes.	21	understanding. But the contract ends after some
22 23	Q And then because you didn't win your next	22	duration of time; correct?
24	fight, you would have been paid 34/34 also; is that right?	23 24	A It's supposed to end. But they just keep
25	A Yes.	25	stacking up on top of it. Q So how do they stack up on top of it?
23	Page 119	23	Page 121
1	Q Okay. And you won that next fight?	1	A You sign a re-up.
2	A Yes.	2	Q Okay, but outside of the re-up, if you
3	Q So for the last fight on the contract, you	3	really didn't want to sign the re-up, the contract
4 5	would have been paid 38/38; is that correct? A I believe so.	4 5	would end after the duration of the contract, which
6	A I believe so. But you said that they forced you to	6	in the case of Exhibit 51, I believe is 18 months, and then
7	re-sign so that you were now paid 45/45; is that	7	A 18 months without making a dime. That
8	correct?	8	would retire a fighter. We don't make that much
9	A Because if I would not have signed, I	9	money. You don't fight, you don't work for 18
10	would not have gotten another fight for a year,	10	months, you're not going to survive, you're not
11	which at that time I hadn't made enough money to sit	11	going to live. You don't get a choice.
12	out a year. I probably would have had to retire.	12	Q So you had fought a number of contracts
13	And there was no other place for me to go to make	13	a number of the fights prior to the point where you
14	to make any money, so in that sense, yeah.	14	were being considered for renegotiation; right?
15	Q And the reason why you would have to had	15	MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form.
16	sit out a year is because after you finished your	16	BY MR. WIDNELL:
17	fight	17	Q So let me put it this way. The contract
18	A Because if I didn't sign up, if I didn't	18	started with or the time started running from the
19	to do the re-up with the contract, I wouldn't have	19	first fight of the contract, which was with Chris
20	gotten a bout agreement.	20	Wilson; is that correct?
21	Q Until?	21	A Yes. I mean, I guess one of the things
22	A They would have exercised their time limit	22	that I guess I need to be clear about is, because of
23	term to the full.	23	the UFC's monopolistic position in the market and
24	Q And the reason you know about that is	24	their dominance, their belt is the only belt. So
25	because you heard about Huerta?	25	there is no reason to go anywhere else. You're not

Page 122 Page 124

going to make money, you're not going to achieve, you're not going to be anything unless you fight for their belt.

So that's another reason you're kind of forced to re-up these contracts. There's nowhere -- that's why I say, there's nowhere else to go because you're a fighter. Fighters fight for titles. The most coveted title is the UFC title. You have nowhere else to go.

- Q And I understand that UFC maybe the most attractive choice, and the next best choice may seem to be a very bad choice. But what I'm trying to understand is how they're forcing you to re-sign a new contract. And I just want to make sure I understand the mechanics of that. So my understanding, just taking Exhibit 51 as an example, is -- under Exhibit 51, there would have been an 18-month duration of the contract, correct?
 - A Uh-huh.

Q And at the point that you started renegotiating, it was after your third fight, which was on January 31 of 2009. So at that point there was still eight months left on the contract when they could schedule another fight for you; is that correct?

A Uh-huh.

- Q And then I understand there is a period of exclusive negotiation?
 - A Uh-huh.
- Q But then the way this gets to another year of waiting --
 - A And matching, yeah.
- 8 Q -- is the matching part?
 - A Uh-huh.
 - Q Is that right?
 - A Yeah.
 - Q Because the matching period is another year after that?

A They said I had nine months, so I had nine months that I could have waited out. And I would have been sat for that nine months. Then I would have been given a contract to fight the last fight. And then I have to sit and wait two months until I can start taking offers from other people. And then they have the right to match. You're talking about two years of not fighting almost. That's going to retire a guy. You can't -- there's no choice.

That's why I say forced. Because you're in a position where -- and it's not a negotiation, he's right, there's no negotiation. Here is what

Page 123

Page 125

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

MR. WIDNELL: I'm trying to characterize the contract, actually.

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Well, actually, one of the things you did was you said there was a negotiation, and I don't think the witness testified there was a negotiation, so it mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

I appreciate what you're trying to do, and I think clarification would be helpful, but you didn't achieve it with that.

MR. WIDNELL: I understand what you're saying about negotiation.

BY MR. WIDNELL:

- Q So there was a point where you re-signed a contract sometime after January 31 of 2009.
 - A Uh-huh.
- Q Right? And at that point, the contract that you were under had started in March 1st of 2008?
- A Uh-huh.
- Q So you had potentially nine more months under the contract.

we're offering; take it or leave it. If you leave it, you're quitting, you are retiring, you're not going to survive in the sport anymore. There's nowhere else to go.

Q Okay. So I understand that that's your characterization of what happened. But I just want to be clear. The way that you may get this to be two years that you're going to have to sit around is because the right to match is a year, and you don't believe that other promotors would get you an offer during that time period; is that correct?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

THE WITNESS: No. What I'm saying is there is ample studies that show that matching periods freezes markets.

BY MR. WIDNELL:

- Q What do you mean by freeze market?
- A They keep potential bidders from bidding. It freezes them because they don't want to -- they don't want to deal with the turmoil and struggle on bidding on this guy and losing it. They'd rather not do it at all.
- Q So your position is that other bidders won't bid during the matching period; is that



Page 218

THE WITNESS: I mean, it's similar, like I said. I'll say it again, three-year-old with a baseball bat and 900-pound gorilla with a baseball bat. I mean, it's the same type of contracts. I mean, these guys, these smaller shows copy the UFC. There was a big hoopla a while ago about Ken Pavia supplying Bellator with UFC contracts back in the day. I mean, these organizations copied UFC's contracts. I mean, they're all the same contracts. But the idea of a three-year-old with a bat versus a 900-pound gorilla with a bat, these guys don't have the power to inflict damage with what they're doing; the UFC does.

BY MR. WIDNELL:

б

Q Okay. Let me refer you back to Exhibit 51. If you look at 5.2 on page 5. It reads "If, at the expiration of the term, fighter is then the UFC champion, the term shall be automatically extended for a period commencing on the termination date and ending on the earlier of one year from the termination date, or, two" -- I'm sorry -- "one year from the termination date --

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Sorry to interrupt you, Counsel, but the witness doesn't have the exhibit and we need to get him oriented.

Page 219

MR. WIDNELL: Sorry. It's Exhibit 51, page 5.

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Just flip it over to make sure it's the right -- because they're the same.

THE WITNESS: What page on 51? BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q Page 5. And paragraph 5.2.

A Okay.

Q And let me just start at the beginning.

"If, at the expiration of the term, fighter is then the UFC champion, the term shall be automatically extended for a period commencing on the termination date and ending on the earlier of, one, one year from the termination date, or, two, the date on the which fighter has participated in three bouts promoted by Zuffa following the termination date."

That -- and then in parenthesis "extension term."

"Any reference to the term herein shall be deemed to include a reference to the extension term where applicable."

So I believe that is the championship clause or the champion's clause. Is it your understanding that that effectively locks the champion in forever?

Page 220

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Object to the form to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: As long as that fighter wants to continue fighting and continues to win, he will remain under contract with them. If he loses or chooses to sit out for one year, it appears, and sit out the matching period, you're talk about a champion who could potentially be on the bench for one year to two years. I mean, what does that do to someone's value? How is he going to fight for any money two years after he's fought?

Like, yeah. He would go from a very lucrative position to not having much notoriety at all in that situation. So you -- you don't really have the option of not continuing to fight for them forever. The only way out is if they cut you, if they don't like you and they want to get rid of you.

Q Now, I believe you said that all the fighters talk about what happens in the UFC. How many times have you heard of a fighter talk about this clause being invoked?

A Randy Couture was one. Chuck Liddell is another. I mean, there's been a number over the years. I can't remember them off the top of my head, but I know they were a few guys, because

Page 221

champions want to fight the other champions who are out there. And they can't do that currently like this, you know. They're stuck.

Q So your understanding is, Randy Couture, Chuck Liddell, and at least some other fighters have not been able to leave the UFC because UFC used this provision?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to form. BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q Is that correct?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Object to the form. Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

THE WITNESS: No, it's not what I'm saying. I'm saying that the UFC uses multiple tools, including these contracts, to get people to sign and be obedient, even if it's not in their best interest.

BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q Okay. I understand that. I've heard you say that. But what I'm really trying to ask about is what instances are you aware of where this provision, specifically, was invoked to keep a champion from leaving the UFC?



Page 222 Page 224 1 discussed, a lot of people at the time wanted Chuck 1 competing for money. We're there competing for 2 to go and fight the Pride champion, unify the belts, 2 titles. We want the belt. and it never happened. But it's also the fact that 3 3 Q Okay. But you were never a champion; there's nowhere else to go. The UFC has monopolized 4 correct? the market and made their belt the only belt worth 5 A Not in the UFC, no. 6 having, right? Because they are the promoter and 6 Q And your contract was never extended 7 the sanctioning body. They control the belt that 7 pursuant to section 5.2 of your contract; is that 8 everybody wants. Everybody needs that belt. You're 8 correct? 9 not going to make as much money doing anything else 9 MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. 10 other than holding that belt. So yes, these 10 THE WITNESS: It was in my contract, 11 contracts never end because you have nowhere else to 11 though, so it affected me. If it wasn't going to 12 go. They use multiple tentacles to make these 12 affect me, why would they put it in any contract. 13 things happen. 13 BY MR. WIDNELL: 14 Q And you said that every fighter is 14 Q So it affected your legal rights is what 15 affected by the champion clause, how were you 15 you're saying; is that correct? 16 affected by it? 16 Yes. 17 A Well, I was a contracted UFC fighter, and 17 Q Okay. Now, at WSOF you are a champion; 18 the job and life goal and purpose for every fighter 18 right? is to win the highest coveted belt, the most 19 19 A Yes. 20 proclaimed, the most looked-up-to belt, and that's 20 Q And the champion's clause does apply to 21 the UFC belt. So everyone who is fighting for that 21 you: is that correct? 22 belt is affected by the provisions of that belt 22 A Correct. 23 throughout the sport, throughout the company. 23 Q Does that prevent you from being able to Q And how were you affected specifically? 24 24 leave WSOF? 25 MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. 25 A Correct. But, again, we're going with the Page 225 Page 223 three-year-old and a baseball bat versus a 900-pound Asked and answered. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I believe I answered 2 gorilla and a baseball bat. I'm getting screwed in 3 3 each situation, but I'm not getting screwed as bad that. 4 4 with the little guy. It doesn't hurt so bad when my BY MR. WIDNELL: 5 5 Q So what you said is, I'm saying that I little son hits me. 6 6 have no problem -- you said, well, I was a Q So how long do you have to stay with WSOF 7 contracted UFC fighter and the job and life goal and 7 because of your champion clause? 8 purpose of every fighter is to win the highest 8 MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. 9 coveted belt, the most proclaimed --9 10 A Title. 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not a hundred 11 Title. 11 percent sure. I have a promotional rights agreement 0 also. When that runs out, the provisions of the 12 Trophy, award. 12 Q So is the only way that you are affected 13 title stuff will kick in, but it's the same -- same 13 14 by the championship clause that the championship is 14 thing. I mean, that provision is there, they all 15 what you wanted to attain? 15 use it because the top dog is using it. If they 16 MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. 16 didn't use it, the UFC would just pluck their 17 champions, all the other champions every time. 17 Mischaracterizes the testimony. Calls for a legal 18 conclusion. 18 Every time someone won a belt, somebody would buy 19 THE WITNESS: No. 19 them up. 20 20 BY MR. WIDNELL: BY MR. WIDNELL: 21 21 Q So how were you affected? Q Because the UFC would pay more for the 22 A I was affected the way that everyone was 22 champion than the organization's paying itself? affected. We're all fighting for the same belt. So 23 A Yeah. Not much, but they would just pay 23

24

25

any terms and conditions around that belt affect

everyone who is competing for it. We're not there

24

25

enough to keep that guy to put that other company

out of business, because now they can't have a named

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 226

fighter. If they built the guy up, they could steal him away.

- Q And when you were at UFC, the last fight that you fought you were getting paid, was it 66/66?
 - A Yup.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

- O What are you getting paid now?
- With the last fight, it was a title defense, so I got a pay bump. When I won the belt,

I was paid 35 and 35, \$70,000 for winning a world title, which is \$4,000 more than I got paid by losing in the UFC. And then I made 50 and 50 for this last fight.

- Q Okay. So you're locked in into your next fight. What will you be paid?
- I will be paid 55 and 55 for the next fight.
- Q Whereas, if you were at the UFC, the championship clause would have locked you in. Do you think you would have been paid more than the 66/66 if you'd become a champion?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: It's impossible to know what I could possibly be paid. You know, we don't have a free unhindered market. So I wouldn't be able to

is though that's probably a much smaller percentage of the proceeds the companies are making that I would get paid. I bet you I'm making a much bigger percentage of what World Series of Fighting is making for their shows versus what I made of what the UFC is making. World Series ending up doing gates of billions of dollars is not happening. They give most of their tickets away at the gate. BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q So when you said that WSOF's champion clause has much less of an effect on you, it's because you are making much -- a much larger percentage of their overall revenue, even though you're getting paid a lot less money. Is that what you meant by WSOF's champion clause affected you?

A No, that's not what I mean.

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

THE WITNESS: No, that's not what I mean. What's that question again? That wasn't what I

BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q When you said that the champion clause as used by -- or the champion clause as used by the WSOF had less of an effect on you. But it sounds

Page 227

Page 229

Page 228

figure out what my real worth is. 1 2

BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q Do champions at UFC get a percentage of pay per view?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Objection to the form. Foundation.

THE WITNESS: UFC champions need to defend their belt in order to get a piece of the pay per view. But, I mean, that all depends on negotiations, if they're able to get very much. I mean, I can't recall the negotiation. It's pretty standard with a lot of those guys, what they're getting.

BY MR. WIDNELL:

Q So right now you're locked in at 50/50. With the UFC you would be locked in at a higher rate most likely; is that correct?

MR. DELL'ANGELO: Object to the form. Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony.

THE WITNESS: I could guess. I would have to -- I would have to guess by looking at what I was getting paid when I got cut. And I would have to guess by what my contemporaries are getting paid also to figure that out. But chances are I would be making considerably more money. But the funny thing 1 like you're locked into a contract with a lower 2 compensation. 3

A Well, the thing with that is, I haven't -we've seen guys go from World Series to UFC. I don't know if there was any champions yet. It's a new organization. But we have situations where guys like Justin Gaethje is a champ, and he may be allowed to leave. A lot of these guys are content with being number two. So they're willing to release some of the champions. I don't know.

Yeah, that's kind of the way it is. The UFC got rid of me because they were paying me too much. They didn't like me. And I had nowhere else to go so, yeah, I'm stuck. I had to go there. I don't like that contract, but there's no other options. It's retire or do that.

Q So you've talked about how the UFC didn't like you. I think you said it's because of stances you took like the video game stances. Is that what you think is the reason for why UFC doesn't like vou?

A That is one reason. The other reason is because I am a more grappling-based fighter, and they want stand-up fights because they want more knockouts.

